eISSN 2583-6986
IDEALISTIC JOURNAL OF ADVANCED RESEARCH IN PROGRESSIVE SPECTRUMS (IJARPS)
A MONTHLY, OPEN ACCESS, PEER REVIEWED, INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
Volume 05, Issue 09, September 2026 DOI- https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.23635493

Democracy and Youth: Partners in Nation Building

Dr. Akanksha Shukla[1]
[1]Assistant Professor, Department of Sociology, Mahila Mahavidyalaya PG College, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh

Received: 21 June 2026 Accepted & Reviewed: 25 September 2026, Published: 30 September 2026

Abstract

Democracy is more than a system of government; it is a culture of participation, equality, liberty, justice and respect for human dignity. Young people constitute a major social and political force and are among the most important stakeholders in the future of democratic societies. This chapter examines the relationship between democracy and youth, focusing on political participation, civic engagement, education, leadership, digital citizenship and the challenges that limit meaningful participation. It argues that young people should not be viewed merely as future citizens but as citizens in the present, capable of shaping institutions, communities and public policy. The chapter also discusses the role of educational institutions, civil society and technology in creating opportunities for youth participation. Examples from India and international youth movements illustrate how young citizens can contribute to social transformation. The chapter concludes that inclusive democratic institutions, civic education, access to opportunities and responsible digital participation are essential for building a resilient and participatory democracy.

Keywords: Democracy, Youth, Participation, Citizenship, Civic Engagement, Governance, Education, Digital Citizenship, Nation Building.

Introduction

Democracy derives its legitimacy from the people and for the people. Although democratic institutions differ across countries, the central idea remains that citizens should have a meaningful role in determining how they are governed. Elections, representative institutions, constitutional rights, public debate and accountability are important components of democratic life. Yet democracy cannot remain healthy if citizens become passive observers. It requires continuous participation, dialogue and a willingness to accept differences. Youth occupy a particularly important position in this process. They bring energy, creativity, new perspectives and a readiness to question established practices. Young citizens are often among the first to respond to social injustice, environmental concerns, technological change and demands for institutional reform. Their participation can therefore strengthen democracy by connecting formal political institutions with emerging social aspirations. The relationship is reciprocal. Democracy provides young people with opportunities to express themselves, organize, vote, contest ideas and influence public policy. At the same time, active youth participation can renew democratic institutions, introduce innovative ideas and encourage greater responsiveness. A democracy that excludes or marginalizes young citizens risks losing touch with changing social realities. In the twenty-first century, this relationship has acquired additional importance because political communication is increasingly shaped by digital technologies. Social media, online campaigns and digital platforms allow young people to participate beyond traditional political structures. However, the same technologies can also facilitate misinformation, polarization and manipulation. Consequently, democratic youth participation must combine enthusiasm with critical thinking, ethical responsibility and civic knowledge.

UNDERSTANDING DEMOCRACY

eISSN 2583-6986
IDEALISTIC JOURNAL OF ADVANCED RESEARCH IN PROGRESSIVE SPECTRUMS (IJARPS)
A MONTHLY, OPEN ACCESS, PEER REVIEWED, INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
Volume 05, Issue 09, September 2026 DOI- https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.23635493

Democracy is commonly understood as government based on the consent and participation of citizens. Its institutional forms vary, but democratic systems generally emphasize popular sovereignty, constitutionalism, fundamental rights, equality before law, pluralism, accountability and peaceful transfer of political power.

Democracy is not limited to periodic elections. It also involves everyday practices through which citizens influence public decisions and hold authorities accountable. Public consultation, community organizations, student bodies, civil society groups, independent media, public hearings and peaceful protest can all contribute to democratic life. In this broader sense, democracy is both an institutional arrangement and a social culture.

A democratic culture requires citizens who can disagree without treating opponents as enemies. Respect for diversity, tolerance, reasoned debate and willingness to compromise are therefore essential. Youth organizations and educational institutions can become important spaces in which these habits are learned and practiced.

WHO ARE THE YOUTH

The term youth is used differently by different institutions and countries. The United Nations commonly uses the age range 15–24 for statistical purposes, while several national policies adopt broader definitions. In India, the National Youth Policy has historically addressed young people through wider age categories. For the purposes of this chapter, youth refers broadly to adolescents and young adults who are entering or consolidating their roles as citizens.

Youth are not a homogeneous group. Their experiences differ according to gender, socioeconomic background, education, geography, disability, employment status and access to technology. Rural and urban youth may encounter different opportunities, while students, workers and unemployed young people may have different political priorities.

A democratic approach to youth must therefore recognize diversity rather than treating young people as a single social category.

Despite these differences, young citizens share certain structural characteristics. They are often at a stage of life in which political attitudes, professional identities and civic values are developing. Their long-term stake in public institutions is especially significant because the policies adopted today will shape their education, employment, environment and quality of life for decades.

The Relationship Between Democracy and Youth

Democracy and youth are mutually reinforcing. Democratic institutions give young people channels for participation, while youth participation supplies democratic systems with new ideas and social energy. The relationship can be understood through five dimensions.

1. **Participation:** Voting, public discussion, volunteering and community activities allow young citizens to influence decisions and develop a sense of civic ownership.
2. **Representation:** Young people need meaningful representation in political parties, elected bodies, student institutions and public consultations so that policy reflects generational concerns.
3. **Accountability:** Youth can use civic organizations, public information, digital tools and peaceful advocacy to question poor governance and demand transparency.
4. **Social change:** Youth movements have often contributed to campaigns concerning education, equality, corruption, peace and environmental sustainability.

eISSN 2583-6986
IDEALISTIC JOURNAL OF ADVANCED RESEARCH IN PROGRESSIVE SPECTRUMS (IJARPS)
A MONTHLY, OPEN ACCESS, PEER REVIEWED, INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
Volume 05, Issue 09, September 2026 DOI- https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.23635493

5. **Nation building:** Young citizens contribute through innovation, entrepreneurship, community service, public institutions and constructive participation in democratic processes.
6.
7. **Forms of Youth Participation in Democracy**

Youth participation occurs through both formal and informal channels. A strong democracy should provide multiple pathways so that young citizens who are not interested in party politics can still contribute to public life.

- **Electoral participation:** Voting is the most direct formal mechanism through which citizens influence government. Youth voter registration, informed voting and participation in electoral discussions can improve democratic responsiveness.
- **Political participation:** Young people may join political parties, student organizations, campaign groups or local civic associations. Some may contest elections or work in policy and public administration.
- **Civic engagement:** Volunteering, community service, awareness campaigns, blood donation drives, environmental activities and local problem-solving connect citizenship with everyday life.
- **Youth organizations:** Student unions, youth clubs, civil society organizations and community groups provide opportunities for leadership, collective action and dialogue.
- **Digital participation:** Online petitions, public consultations, social media campaigns and civic information platforms have created new forms of participation. These require digital literacy and ethical conduct.

In India, programmes and organizations such as the National Service Scheme (NSS), National Cadet Corps (NCC), Nehru Yuva Kendra Sangathan (NYKS) and youth clubs provide structured opportunities for service, discipline, leadership and civic engagement. Such initiatives can help connect young people with communities and public institutions.

Challenges Faced by Youth in Democratic Participation

Although young people have significant democratic potential, participation is constrained by social, economic, institutional and informational barriers.

- **Lack of civic awareness:** Some young citizens have limited knowledge of constitutional rights, public institutions, electoral procedures and mechanisms for public accountability.
- **Political apathy and distrust:** Corruption, unresponsive institutions and negative political experiences can create cynicism and reduce willingness to participate.
- **Limited opportunities:** Young people may be invited to participate symbolically without being given meaningful decision-making roles. Token representation can discourage future engagement.
- **Socioeconomic barriers:** Poverty, unemployment, unequal access to education and social exclusion can reduce the time and resources available for civic participation.
- **Misinformation and polarization:** Digital platforms can spread misleading information rapidly. Emotionally charged content may discourage evidence-based discussion and increase social division.
- **Unequal digital access:** The benefits of online participation depend on reliable connectivity, devices, skills and accessible information. Digital inequality can therefore become a democratic inequality.

Addressing these barriers requires more than encouraging youth to participate. Democratic institutions must also become more accessible, transparent and responsive to young citizens.

eISSN 2583-6986
IDEALISTIC JOURNAL OF ADVANCED RESEARCH IN PROGRESSIVE SPECTRUMS (IJARPS)
A MONTHLY, OPEN ACCESS, PEER REVIEWED, INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
Volume 05, Issue 09, September 2026 DOI- https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.23635493

Role of Education in Strengthening Democratic Citizenship

Education is one of the most important foundations of democratic citizenship. Schools and colleges do not merely transmit information; they can cultivate habits of inquiry, dialogue, cooperation and respect for diversity. Civic education should therefore be connected with practical opportunities for participation.

- Developing critical thinking and the ability to distinguish evidence from unsupported claims.
- Teaching constitutional values, rights, duties, institutions and democratic procedures.
- Encouraging respectful discussion of controversial social and political questions.
- Providing opportunities through debates, mock parliaments, student councils and community projects.
- Promoting equality, inclusion, gender sensitivity and respect for cultural and social diversity.
- Developing leadership, teamwork, communication and conflict-resolution skills.

Higher education institutions have a special responsibility because students are often entering adult civic and professional life. Universities and colleges can serve as democratic laboratories where students learn to debate ideas, organize collectively, evaluate public policy and undertake community research. The goal should not be to promote a particular political ideology, but to develop informed, independent and responsible citizens.

Youth and Technology: A New Dimension of Democracy

Digital technology has transformed the way young people receive information and communicate with institutions. Social media allows citizens to respond rapidly to public events, while online platforms can lower the cost of organizing campaigns and sharing civic information. Young people are particularly active users of these technologies, making digital citizenship an important part of contemporary democracy.

Technology can support democracy in several ways. Government portals can make information more accessible; online consultations can broaden public participation; digital campaigns can highlight neglected issues; and open-data initiatives can support accountability. Youth-led initiatives can also use digital storytelling and community mapping to draw attention to local problems.

However, technology is not automatically democratic. False information, manipulated images, hate speech, harassment, echo chambers and algorithmic amplification can distort public debate. Young citizens therefore need media and information literacy. They should learn to verify sources, identify manipulative content, protect privacy and communicate responsibly.

A healthy digital democracy combines freedom of expression with responsibility. Young people should be encouraged to use technology not only for reaction and entertainment but also for constructive dialogue, learning, community service and informed public participation.

Youth Leadership and Nation Building

Leadership is not restricted to holding political office. A young person who organizes a literacy campaign, develops a social enterprise, volunteers in a disaster response, advocates for environmental protection or contributes to local governance is also exercising civic leadership.

Effective youth leadership is characterized by responsibility, empathy, integrity, innovation and the ability to work with people who hold different views. Democratic leadership is fundamentally different from authoritarian leadership because it values consultation and shared decision-making.

eISSN 2583-6986
IDEALISTIC JOURNAL OF ADVANCED RESEARCH IN PROGRESSIVE SPECTRUMS (IJARPS)
A MONTHLY, OPEN ACCESS, PEER REVIEWED, INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
Volume 05, Issue 09, September 2026 DOI- https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.23635493

Youth leadership can contribute to nation building through education, entrepreneurship, science and technology, social innovation, public service and community development. When young people are trusted with responsibility, they develop practical skills while institutions gain new perspectives.

National youth programmes, student organizations, NCC and NSS activities can help build discipline, teamwork and a service orientation. Such programmes are most effective when young participants are given genuine responsibility and opportunities to initiate projects rather than simply follow instructions.

Selected Indian and Global Experiences

India has a long history of youth involvement in public life. During the freedom movement, students and young activists participated in campaigns, volunteer activities and political mobilization. Figures such as Bhagat Singh became symbols of youth commitment to political change, although their methods and ideological positions must be understood within the historical context of colonial India.

The student-led mobilization associated with the JP Movement of the 1970s also demonstrated the political influence of young people. More recently, youth participation has appeared in campaigns concerning corruption, gender justice, environmental protection and public policy. These experiences show that youth activism can take many forms, from institutional politics to peaceful civic movements.

Globally, young people have played visible roles in climate activism, human-rights campaigns and democratic reform movements. Digital communication has enabled geographically dispersed youth to connect around common causes. At the same time, these experiences show the importance of organization, factual information, non-violence and sustained civic engagement.

The lesson from these examples is not that every young person should become an activist. Rather, democratic societies should create legitimate spaces where young citizens can express concerns, organize peacefully, influence policy and participate in solving community problems.

Building a Youth-Friendly Democracy

A youth-friendly democracy should move from symbolic inclusion toward meaningful participation. The following measures can strengthen this process:

- Institutionalize youth consultation in local, regional and national policy processes.
- Expand practical civic education and media-literacy programmes in schools and colleges.
- Create accessible opportunities for young people to participate in local governance and community development.
- Support youth organizations while protecting their independence and diversity of viewpoints.
- Improve access to reliable public information and transparent digital government services.
- Reduce socioeconomic barriers that prevent disadvantaged youth from participating.
- Encourage political parties and public institutions to provide meaningful leadership opportunities to younger citizens.
- Promote safe and respectful digital spaces for democratic discussion.
- Evaluate youth programme by the quality and impact of participation rather than by the number of participants alone.

eISSN 2583-6986
IDEALISTIC JOURNAL OF ADVANCED RESEARCH IN PROGRESSIVE SPECTRUMS (IJARPS)
A MONTHLY, OPEN ACCESS, PEER REVIEWED, INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
Volume 05, Issue 09, September 2026 DOI- https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.23635493

These measures require cooperation among government, educational institutions, civil society, families, media organizations and young people themselves. Youth participation should be understood as a partnership rather than a one-way process in which older institutions simply instruct the younger generation.

Conclusion

Democracy cannot be sustained by institutions alone. It depends on citizens who understand their rights, accept responsibilities, participate in public life and respect the dignity of others. Young people are central to this democratic ecosystem. They are not merely leaders of tomorrow; they are citizens of today whose choices, ideas and actions already shape society.

The relationship between democracy and youth is therefore both practical and transformative. When young citizens are educated, heard and trusted, they can strengthen accountability, innovation, social inclusion and national development. When they are excluded, ignored or exposed primarily to misinformation and polarization, democratic institutions become weaker.

The future of democracy will depend partly on whether societies can create meaningful opportunities for youth participation. Civic education, inclusive institutions, digital literacy, youth leadership and community engagement can convert youthful energy into constructive democratic power. The objective should be a democracy in which every young person has the knowledge, confidence and opportunity to participate.

A democratic nation is strongest when its youth do not merely inherit its institutions but actively improve them. Empowering youth is therefore not simply a youth-development strategy; it is an investment in the resilience, legitimacy and future of democracy.

References

1. International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance (IDEA). (2024). The Global State of Democracy 2024. International IDEA.
2. Ministry of Youth Affairs and Sports, Government of India. (2014). National Youth Policy 2014. Government of India.
3. National Service Scheme. (2018). National Service Scheme: Manual. Ministry of Youth Affairs and Sports, Government of India.
4. United Nations. (2020). World Youth Report 2020: Youth Social Entrepreneurship and the 2030 Agenda. United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs.
5. United Nations Development Programme. (2022). Youth and Democratic Governance: Participation and Inclusion. UNDP.
6. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO). (2023). Global Education Monitoring Report 2023: Technology in Education. UNESCO.
7. Verba, S., Schlozman, K. L., & Brady, H. E. (1995). Voice and Equality: Civic Voluntarism in American Politics. Harvard University Press.
8. Putnam, R. D. (2000). Bowling Alone: The Collapse and Revival of American Community. Simon & Schuster.
9. Dalton, R. J. (2016). The Good Citizen: How a Younger Generation Is Reshaping American Politics (2nd ed.). CQ Press
10. World Bank. (2019). World Development Report 2019: The Changing Natu