

Contemporary Applications of AI and Digital Technology in English Language Pedagogy in India

Prof. Amit Bhardwaj¹

¹Director, Higher Education, Directorate, Higher Education, Uttar Pradesh, Prayagraj, (U.P.)

Received: 15 May 2025 Accepted & Reviewed: 25 May 2025, Published: 31 May 2025

Abstract

This empirical study critically investigates the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and digital technologies in English Language Teaching (ELT) within the rapidly evolving educational landscape of contemporary India. Recognizing the transformative potential of AI—ranging from adaptive learning platforms and intelligent tutoring systems to speech recognition and automated assessment tools—the study examines how these technologies are currently employed across varied institutional contexts. Employing a mixed-methods approach, the research draws on quantitative data from structured surveys and qualitative insights derived from in-depth interviews and classroom observations involving English language instructors from both urban and semi-urban regions. The analysis reveals that while AI-driven tools contribute significantly to improving learner autonomy, engagement, and differentiated instruction, their integration is not uniformly effective. Key impediments include infrastructural disparities, inadequate teacher preparedness, algorithmic bias, and the linguistic and socio-economic digital divide that continues to affect equitable access to these technologies.

Moreover, the study critiques the tendency to adopt AI tools as technological solutions divorced from broader pedagogical frameworks, emphasizing the need for context-sensitive, teacher-mediated digital integration. The findings underscore the imperative of reimagining ELT not merely through technological adoption but through inclusive, critically informed pedagogical reform grounded in local realities.

Keywords: AI in Education, digital pedagogy, English Language Teaching, Empirical Research

Introduction

The domain of English Language Teaching (ELT) in India is witnessing a significant transformation with the proliferation of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and digital technologies. These advancements are not merely enhancing the functionality of instructional tools but are redefining the foundational pedagogical frameworks within which language is taught and learned. Tools such as AI-powered writing assistants (e.g., Grammarly), speech recognition applications, intelligent tutoring systems, and language learning apps like Duolingo and Elsa Speak are increasingly being integrated into classroom practices (Kukulska-Hulme 14; Warschauer 58). These technologies promise to support differentiated instruction, personalized feedback, and greater learner autonomy, potentially addressing some of the chronic limitations of traditional teacher-centered models.

However, the implications of this digital shift in the Indian context are far from uniform or unproblematic. The integration of AI into ELT settings is shaped by structural inequalities, access disparities, linguistic diversity, and varying levels of digital literacy among both learners and teachers. For instance, while urban institutions may benefit from well-equipped digital infrastructures and trained educators, rural and under-resourced schools often struggle with inconsistent internet access, limited digital devices, and a lack of pedagogical support (Ramesh and Prasad 213). Moreover, the enthusiasm surrounding AI often overlooks critical questions about its pedagogical relevance, cultural adaptability, and ethical dimensions—particularly in multilingual, socio-economically stratified educational contexts like India.

In addition, existing literature on educational technology in India tends to focus on its theoretical benefits or macro-level policy frameworks (Ministry of Education 34). There remains a dearth of empirical research that rigorously examines the lived experiences of teachers and learners navigating AI-infused ELT environments. As Selwyn aptly notes, educational technology must be viewed not as a neutral force but as a contested space where socio-political, cultural, and economic dynamics converge (Selwyn 3).

This paper seeks to fill this empirical and critical gap by investigating how AI and digital technologies are being adopted and negotiated within English language classrooms across diverse Indian educational institutions. Drawing on data from surveys, interviews, and classroom observations, the study aims to analyze both the pedagogical affordances and the practical challenges of integrating these tools, thereby offering a grounded understanding of how technological innovation is reshaping the ELT landscape in contemporary India.

Literature Review

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and digital technologies into language education has generated considerable academic interest over the last decade, largely due to their ability to offer adaptive, scalable, and learner-centered approaches. Scholars such as Warschauer argue that AI-infused language learning environments promote interactivity and autonomy by enabling real-time feedback, personalized content delivery, and dynamic assessment (Warschauer 58). Such capabilities have the potential to transform English Language Teaching (ELT) from a traditionally linear, textbook-bound approach to a more participatory and responsive pedagogical model.

In the Indian context, researchers like Ramesh and Prasad have examined the practical implementations of AI-driven tools such as chatbots, speech-to-text engines, and Natural Language Processing (NLP) algorithms in ELT classrooms. Their studies highlight how these tools support vocabulary acquisition, pronunciation accuracy, and reading comprehension, particularly for learners with limited access to quality human instruction (Ramesh and Prasad 211–13). For instance, chatbots programmed to simulate conversational English have shown promise in fostering oral fluency and grammatical competence in semi-urban and rural learners (Rao and Nair 189). Similarly, NLP-based tools have facilitated automated error detection and instant grammar correction, which, when used appropriately, contribute to cognitive reinforcement and learner autonomy.

Policy-level support for digital learning in India has also intensified in recent years. The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 advocates for the integration of digital platforms and personalized learning tools into mainstream education, especially through the development of platforms like DIKSHA and SWAYAM (Ministry of Education 34). These initiatives aim to democratize access to quality educational content and promote bilingual and multimedia delivery modes. However, the policy remains vague about the specifics of AI-driven pedagogy and lacks actionable frameworks for teacher training and infrastructure scalability in ELT.

Despite these developments, the Indian scholarly discourse on AI in language education remains largely speculative or anecdotal, with few empirically grounded investigations. Much of the literature tends to idealize technology as an inherently progressive force, underplaying the socio-economic and infrastructural barriers that affect its implementation. As Selwyn cautions, the educational deployment of AI must be critically analyzed within broader social and political contexts rather than seen as a mere technical fix to

pedagogical problems (Selwyn 17). Moreover, scholars like Veletsianos and Moe argue for an ethical lens in educational technology research, urging attention to algorithmic bias, surveillance, and data privacy—concerns often overlooked in the Indian discourse (Veletsianos and Moe 204).

Furthermore, the heterogeneity of the Indian educational landscape—marked by linguistic diversity, regional disparities, and curriculum fragmentation—poses unique challenges to the uniform application of AI tools. While English is a second or third language for many Indian learners, most AI-based tools are calibrated to native-speaker norms, thereby marginalizing local variations and accent diversity. The cultural untranslatability embedded in AI algorithms also calls into question the assumed neutrality and universality of these technologies.

Thus, while the global literature offers compelling evidence of the pedagogical affordances of AI in ELT, the Indian context demands a more critical, situated, and evidence-based inquiry. This paper seeks to bridge that gap by offering an empirical investigation into how AI and digital technologies are being integrated into English language classrooms in India, the outcomes they generate, and the limitations they encounter in real-world settings.

Research Objectives

This study is grounded in the critical need to empirically assess the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and digital technologies in English Language Teaching (ELT) in India. The research is guided by the following objectives:

1. To identify the most commonly employed AI-driven and digital tools in ELT classrooms across various educational settings in India.
2. To evaluate the pedagogical impact and perceived effectiveness of these technologies in enhancing language acquisition, learner engagement, and instructional delivery.
3. To analyze the systemic, infrastructural, and pedagogical challenges faced by educators in integrating AI and digital technologies in ELT practices.

These objectives respond to the existing gap in empirical literature that moves beyond techno-optimistic narratives by interrogating how technologies actually function within real-world Indian classrooms (Selwyn 18; Veletsianos and Moe 205).

Methodology

Research Design

A mixed-methods empirical approach was employed to allow both breadth and depth of analysis. This design integrates the quantitative measurement of technological trends in ELT with qualitative accounts of lived pedagogical experiences. Mixed-methods research is particularly apt for educational technology studies as it provides triangulated evidence and mitigates biases associated with any single methodological framework (Creswell and Plano Clark 137).

Quantitative data were gathered through a structured questionnaire distributed to 150 ELT instructors working across urban and semi-urban institutions. These questionnaires collected data on the frequency and purpose of AI/digital tool usage, student outcomes, infrastructural constraints, and teacher attitudes toward technology.

In parallel, qualitative data were derived from in-depth semi-structured interviews with 20 educators, and non-participant classroom observations conducted across 10 schools and colleges. This layered methodological design helped surface nuanced pedagogical practices, resistance patterns, and contextual variabilities often absent from survey data alone (Stake 89).

Sampling

A stratified sampling technique was adopted to ensure equitable representation from both public and private educational institutions across five linguistically and culturally diverse Indian states: Maharashtra, Tamil Nadu, Uttar Pradesh, West Bengal, and Karnataka. These regions were selected due to their varying levels of educational infrastructure, digital penetration, and ELT policy implementation (NITI Aayog 2022). Within each state, participants were drawn from both higher secondary schools and undergraduate colleges to capture a broad spectrum of ELT environments.

Tools and Techniques

The survey instrument included both Likert-scale and multiple-choice items organized around key themes:

- Types of AI applications used (e.g., chatbots, speech-to-text, grammar correction tools)
- Frequency of use in classroom activities
- Impact on learner engagement, performance, and inclusivity
- Challenges related to training, infrastructure, and tool accessibility

The qualitative interviews probed deeper into pedagogical beliefs, resistance or enthusiasm toward AI tools, classroom dynamics, and the role of institutional support.

For data analysis, descriptive and inferential statistics were used to process the survey results. The qualitative data were transcribed and analyzed using NVivo software, allowing for thematic coding and pattern recognition. NVivo facilitated the identification of recurring concerns such as teacher digital literacy gaps, equity in tool access, and context-sensitivity in tool design—concerns widely echoed in critical educational technology literature (Selwyn 18; Buckingham 95).

Findings and Discussion

Adoption of AI Tools in ELT

The empirical data indicate a high level of AI tool adoption among Indian ELT practitioners, with approximately 72% of surveyed educators reporting regular usage of AI-powered applications such as Grammarly, Google Classroom's AI-enhanced features, and mobile apps like Duolingo. These tools primarily assist with grammar correction, vocabulary enrichment, pronunciation practice, and assignment management. Voice-enabled AI assistants, such as Google Assistant, were used by nearly half of the respondents to facilitate spoken English drills, particularly in low-stakes, informal learning contexts.

This widespread adoption suggests a growing comfort with technology-mediated teaching. However, the choice of tools remains limited to commercially available platforms rather than locally developed, curriculum-integrated systems. As noted by Ramesh and Prasad, such tools, while helpful, may not always align with the Indian educational syllabus or multilingual learner needs (213). Moreover, the use of AI appears skewed toward supplementary rather than core instructional activities, raising concerns about the depth of integration.

Perceived Pedagogical Impact

A substantial 68% of educators reported that AI tools improved student writing skills, especially in grammar and vocabulary. Gamified interfaces—such as point systems, badges, and interactive tasks—were cited as motivators by 55% of instructors, who noticed increased learner participation and reduced classroom anxiety (Rao and Nair 188). These findings align with Warschauer’s argument that digital tools support “intrinsic motivation through goal-oriented and game-based learning environments” (62).

However, critical disparities emerged based on geographical location. Educators in urban schools reported higher levels of technological integration and learner responsiveness, while those in rural or semi-urban regions faced significant constraints. This urban-rural divide reflects a broader digital inequality, one which the NEP 2020 acknowledges but does not sufficiently address in terms of actionable implementation (Ministry of Education 36). This discrepancy also problematizes the assumption that technology is a universally enabling force.

Challenges in Implementation

Despite the perceived benefits, several systemic challenges hinder the full-scale adoption of AI in Indian ELT:

- **Infrastructure Gaps:** 43% of respondents indicated inadequate access to digital devices and unstable internet connectivity as major impediments. These problems are especially acute in rural regions, where schools often lack basic infrastructure for digital learning (NITI Aayog 12).
- **Lack of Teacher Training:** Only 27% of participants had undergone any formal training in using AI tools for language pedagogy. As Selwyn emphasizes, technological implementation without corresponding professional development results in superficial usage and “techno-solutionism” devoid of pedagogical intent (Selwyn 22).
- **Language Diversity Barriers:** A recurring theme was the English-dominant interface of most AI tools, which poses challenges for learners who are not proficient in English or who speak regional languages. This aligns with Veletsianos and Moe’s critique of algorithmic bias and the cultural non-neutrality of AI applications in education (205). Teachers expressed concern that AI tools often fail to recognize local accents, dialectal variations, and multilingual contexts—further marginalizing already disadvantaged learners.

Qualitative Insights

The qualitative data—derived from interviews and classroom observations—provided deeper insight into the nuanced effects of AI on pedagogical practice. Educators appreciated the automation of routine tasks such as grading, error correction, and pronunciation feedback, which freed them to focus on higher-order instructional strategies. Particularly in large classrooms, AI-supported feedback enabled some level of individualization, helping weaker students catch up asynchronously.

However, several respondents voiced concerns about the over-reliance on technology. Teachers noted that constant dependence on AI tools might reduce students’ critical thinking, especially when grammar or vocabulary corrections are accepted without understanding the rationale. There was also unease about the “dehumanization” of teaching—the risk that the empathetic, dialogic elements of language instruction might

be lost in algorithmic interaction. As Buckingham cautions, the narrative of efficiency must be balanced against “the emotional and interpersonal dimensions of education” (103).

Furthermore, some teachers expressed ethical concerns related to student data privacy, algorithmic opacity, and the commercialization of learning spaces—issues that are underexplored in Indian policy discourses. This aligns with global scholarship that warns against uncritical adoption of AI in education without robust regulatory and pedagogical frameworks (Selwyn 18; Veletsianos and Moe 208).

Conclusion and Recommendations

The findings of this empirical study underscore a significant shift in English Language Teaching (ELT) in India, driven by the proliferation of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and digital technologies. While tools such as Grammarly, Duolingo, and AI-driven platforms like Google Classroom have been widely adopted, their integration varies considerably across geographic, economic, and institutional lines. The pedagogical benefits—particularly in improving writing accuracy, vocabulary acquisition, learner motivation, and classroom management—are noteworthy. However, these advantages are tempered by substantial barriers, including limited digital infrastructure, inadequate teacher training, and socio-linguistic mismatches between tool design and learner realities.

The research reveals that urban institutions are leveraging AI tools with relative ease, whereas rural and semi-urban institutions struggle with infrastructural deficits and limited technological exposure (Rao and Nair 189). Additionally, the lack of teacher preparedness, cited by over 70% of participants, highlights a serious gap between technological availability and pedagogical competence (Selwyn 22). Despite the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020’s emphasis on technology-driven education, the implementation remains uneven and aspirational rather than systemic (Ministry of Education 36).

Furthermore, the linguistic and cultural biases inherent in many AI tools—primarily designed for English-speaking Western users—create unintended exclusions for learners from diverse Indian language backgrounds (Veletsianos and Moe 204). These issues call for urgent localization and contextualization of AI systems to meet the multilingual and multicultural realities of Indian classrooms.

Recommendations

1. **Teacher Training and Capacity Building:** There is an immediate need for large-scale, sustained professional development programs focused on integrating AI tools into ELT pedagogy. These programs should emphasize not just technical proficiency but also critical digital literacy and pedagogical alignment (Buckingham 104).
2. **Localized AI Solutions:** India must invest in AI tools tailored to regional languages and educational contexts, leveraging the potential of Natural Language Processing (NLP) for Hindi, Tamil, Bengali, and other vernaculars. Collaborative efforts between ed-tech developers, linguists, and educators are essential for designing inclusive tools.
3. **Digital Infrastructure Development:** Bridging the digital divide remains central. Public-private partnerships should be explored to expand access to digital devices, high-speed internet, and electricity in under-resourced schools, particularly in rural areas (NITI Aayog 13).

4. **Ethical and Data Privacy Guidelines:** As AI tools collect vast amounts of student data, the formulation of national-level ethical standards and data privacy regulations is essential. These should be embedded in institutional policies to safeguard student rights (Selwyn 31).
5. **Balanced Pedagogical Framework:** While automation and personalization are AI's strengths, human-centered teaching must remain at the core of language education. AI tools should supplement—not supplant—the relational and interpretative aspects of language learning.
6. **Research and Evaluation:** Continued empirical research is necessary to evaluate the long-term impacts of AI integration in Indian ELT contexts. Longitudinal studies, especially in rural schools and government colleges, can provide granular insights for policy formulation.

In conclusion, AI and digital technologies hold transformative potential for English language education in India, but their success hinges on contextual integration, equity, and critical human oversight. A nuanced, inclusive, and policy-supported approach is imperative to harness their benefits without undermining the social, ethical, and pedagogical fabric of Indian education.

Works Cited:

- Buckingham, David. *Beyond Technology: Children's Learning in the Age of Digital Culture*. Polity Press, 2007.
- Creswell, John W., and Vicki L. Plano Clark. *Designing and Conducting Mixed Methods Research*. 3rd ed., SAGE Publications, 2017.
- Kukulska-Hulme, Agnes. *Mobile Assisted Language Learning*. Cambridge UP, 2020.
- Ministry of Education. *National Education Policy 2020*. Government of India, 2020.
- NITI Aayog. *Digital India: Technology to Transform a Connected Nation*. Government of India, 2022.
- Ramesh, K., and S. Prasad. "AI in English Language Education: Emerging Trends." *Journal of Indian Education*, vol. 45, no. 4, 2022, pp. 210–218.
- Rao, S., and V. Nair. "Digital Language Learning Tools: A Case Study from India." *Language and Technology Review*, vol. 7, no. 2, 2021, pp. 185–194.
- Selwyn, Neil. *Should Robots Replace Teachers? AI and the Future of Education*. Polity Press, 2019.
- Stake, Robert E. *Qualitative Research: Studying How Things Work*. Guilford Press, 2010.
- Veletsianos, George, and Rolin Moe. "The Rise of Algorithmic Education: Concepts, Controversies, and Challenges." *Educational Technology Research and Development*, vol. 69, no. 1, 2021, pp. 199–210.
- Warschauer, Mark. *Learning in the Cloud: How (and Why) to Transform Schools with Digital Media*. Teachers College Press, 2011.